arXiv:math/0401336v1 [math.FA] 24 Jan 2004

H^1-Projective Banach Spaces

Omran Kouba
Department of Mathematics
Higher Institute for Applied Sciences and Technology
P.O. Box 31983, Damascus, Syria.
E-mail : omran_kouba@hiast.edu.sy

Abstract: We study the H^1-projective spaces . We prove that they have the Analytic Radon-Nikodym Property , and that they are cotype 2 spaces which satisfy Grothendieck's Theorem . We show also that the ultraproduct of H^1-projective spaces is H^1-projective. Other results are also discussed.

1. Introduction, preliminary notation and definitions

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disc and let dm be the normalized Haar measure on its bounday $\mathbb{T}$. Let X be any complex Banach space and $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be any measure space. For $p \in [1, +\infty]$ we denote by $L^p(\Omega; X)$ (or $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}; X)$ if necessary) the space of all strongly $\mathcal{A}$-measurable functions $f : \Omega \longrightarrow X$ such that $\|f\|_{L^p(X)} < +\infty$, with

$$\|f\|_{L^p(X)} = \left(\int_\Omega \|f(\omega)\|_X^p \, d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \right)^{1/p}$$

and with the habitual changes in the case $p = +\infty$.

If I is a set then, $\ell^p(I)$ denotes the Banach space $L^p(I, \nu)$,where ν is the counting measure. And if I is the set $\{1, ..., n\}$, the corresponding space is denoted ℓ_n^p .

We will denote by $H^p(X)$the space of all analytic functions $f : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow X$ such that $\|f\|_{H^p(X)} < +\infty$, with

$$\|f\|_{H^p(X)} = \text{ Sup } \{\|f_r\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T};X)} : 0 < r < 1\}$$

and $f_r(t) = f(rt)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $r \in [0, 1[$.

We will also denote by $\widetilde{H}^p(X)$ the closure in $L^p(\mathbb{T}; X) = L^p(X)$ of the set of all X-valued analytic polynomials:

$$\mathcal{P}_+(X) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n z^k x_k ; n \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \mathbb{T} \text{ and } x_k \in X \right\}.$$

The corresponding spaces of scalar functions are denoted simply L^p and H^p.

These definitions also make sense for $p \in]0, 1[$, but we only obtain quasi-Banach spaces instead of Banach spaces .

If $p \geq 1$, then using the Poisson kernel we see easily that $\widetilde{H}^p(X)$ can be identified with a subspce of $H^p(X)$, but in general $\widetilde{H}^p(X) \neq H^p(X)$. However,when $0 < p < +\infty$, equality occurs if and only if X has the analytic Radon-Nikodym property. For references and more information on this property see [**E1**], [**E2**] and [**GLM**].

If X and Y are two Banach spaces then $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the space of all bounded operators from X into Y. A norm one operator $u \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is λ-surjective, if for every $y \in Y$ of norm smaller than one, there exists $x \in X$ of norm smaller than λ such that $u(x) = y$. A norm one operator is said to be a metric surjection, if it is $(1 + \varepsilon)$-surjective for every $\varepsilon > 0$, *i.e.* if its transpose is an isometric embedding.

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is an operator, then the formula

$$\widetilde{T}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} z^k a_k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} z^k T(a_k)$$

defines an operator $\widetilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{H}^p(X), \widetilde{H}^p(Y))$ of the same norm .

We recall the definition of the projective tensor norm $\|.\|_{\wedge}$ on $X \otimes Y$.

$$\forall u \in X \otimes Y \quad \|u\|_{\wedge} = \mathrm{Inf}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \|x_k\|\|y_k\|\right\}$$

where the infimum runs over all possible representations of the form $u = \sum_{k=0}^{n} x_k \otimes y_k$; $x_k \in X$ and $y_k \in Y$.

We denote by $X\hat{\otimes}Y$ the completion of $X \otimes Y$ equipped with the projective norm . The resulting Banach space is called the projective tensor product of X and Y (*cf.* [**G1**],[**G2**]).

Let $J_{X,Y}$ be the natural linear operator of norm one from $H^2(X)\hat{\otimes}H^2(Y)$ into $H^1(X\hat{\otimes}Y)$ defined by

$$J_{X,Y}(f \otimes g)(z) = f(z) \otimes g(z)$$

we also consider the induced opertor $j_{X,Y}$ from $\widetilde{H}^2(X)\hat{\otimes}\widetilde{H}^2(Y)$ into $\widetilde{H}^1(X\hat{\otimes}Y)$.

It is almost clear that if X or Y is an L^1-space, then $J_{X,Y}$ (resp.$j_{X,Y}$) is onto, *i.e.* λ-surjective for some λ; this is a simple consequence of the scalar case. On the other hand , if X and Y are Hilbert spaces then $J_{X,Y}$ is a metric surjection; this is a classical result due to Wiener-Masani in the finite-dimensional case, and to Sarason in the infinite-dimensional case. Recently G.Pisier in [**P3**] has generalized the preceding results by proving the surjectivity of $j_{X,Y}$, if the considered spaces have type 2, or if they are 2-convex Banach lattices. For a more detailed discussion see [**P3**].

The urgent question was then to find Banach spaces X and Y such that $j_{X,Y}$ is not onto. This was answered in our earlier paper [**K**] , where H^1-projective Banach spaces (see definition below) were used in an essential way. Indeed ,the role played by H^1-projective Banach spaces can be clarified by the following proposition from [**K**].

Proposition. *Let X and Y be H^1-projective Banach spaces. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*
i. $X\hat{\otimes}Y$ *is an H^1-projective Banach space.*
ii. $j_{X,Y}$ *is onto.*

This suggested to us to make a somehow systematic study of H^1-projective Banach spaces, and this is the purpose of this paper. Before describing the organization of the paper let us recall some facts which will be frequently used in the sequel.

The following result comes from [**HP**].

Proposition 1.1. *If $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is a (metric) surjection then the following assertions are equivalent.*

i. There exists $p \in [1,+\infty]$ such that the operator $\tilde{\sigma} : \widetilde{H}^p(X) \to \widetilde{H}^p(Y)$ is a (metric) surjection.

ii. For all $p \in]0,+\infty]$, the operator $\tilde{\sigma} : \widetilde{H}^p(X) \to \widetilde{H}^p(Y)$ is a (metric) surjection.

We will also need the principle of local reflexivity [**LR**]. The following formulation comes from[**D**].

Proposition 1.2. *Let X be a Banach space, and let F and G be finite-dimensional subspaces of X^* and X^{**} respectively then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an isomorphism $T : G \to T(G) \subset X$ with the following properties :*

- *i.* *For every $x \in X \cap G$ we have $T(x) = x$.*
- *ii.* $\|T\|.\|T^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$.
- *iii.* *For every $y \in F$ and every $x \in G$ we have $\langle y, x\rangle = \langle y, T(x)\rangle$.*

Let K_n denote the Fejer kernel defined by

$$K_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{\sin n\theta/2}{\sin \theta/2}\right)^2 .$$

We recall that the De La Vallée-Poussin kernel $V_{n,r}$ is defined by

$$V_{n,r} = \frac{rK_{rn} - K_n}{r-1}; \quad r = 2, 3, ...$$

It is easy to see that $\widehat{V}_{n,r}(k) = 1$ if $|k| \leq n$ and $\widehat{V}_{n,r}(k) = 0$ if $|k| \geq rn$, one also has $\|V_{n,r}\|_1 \leq \frac{r+1}{r-1}$. The convolution operator $f \to f * V_{n,r}$ will be denoted $\Phi_{n,r}$. See [**Z**] for more information on this topic .

For any other Banach space terminology, we refer the reader to any general treatise on Banach spaces like [**LT**].

Let us now describe the organization of this paper.

In section 2. we give several equivalent formulations of the H^1-projective property.

In section 3. we relate the H^1-projective property to martingale inequalities and prove that in an H^1-projective space X, Hardy martingales valued in X converge unconditionally and that such a space has the analytic Radon-Nikodym property.

In section 4. we prove that H^1-projective spaces have cotype 2 and satisfy Grothendieck's theorem.

In section 5. we show that the ultraproduct of H^1-projective spaces is also H^1-projective.

Finally in section 6. we give some examples of H^1-projective spaces.

2. $\mathbf{H^1}$-Projectivity, equivalent formulations

If F is an element of the tensor product $H^1 \otimes X$, then for every representation $\sum_0^n h_k \otimes x_k$ of F we have

$$\|F\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \|h_k\|_{H^1} \|x_k\|_X$$

hence for every F in $H^1 \otimes X$ the following inequality holds

$$\|F\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)} \leq \|F\|_{H^1 \hat{\otimes} X}.$$

So we always have a norm one inclusion $H^1 \hat{\otimes} X \hookrightarrow \widetilde{H}^1(X)$.

Let us then make the following definition:

Definition 2.1. A complex Banach space X is called H^1-projective if the canonical inclusion map $H^1 \hat{\otimes} X \hookrightarrow \widetilde{H}^1(X)$ is surjective.

Equivalently, X is H^1-projective if there exists some constant c such that

$$\forall F \in H^1 \hat{\otimes} X, \qquad \|F\|_{H^1 \hat{\otimes} X} \leq c\|F\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)} \tag{2.1}$$

The smallest constant c satisfying (2.1) is called the H^1-projectivity constant of X, and will be denoted $\eta(X)$.

This property was already introduced in our earlier paper [**K**]. In what follows we give some characterizations of H^1-projective spaces and H^1-projective dual spaces.

The following proposition characterizes H^1-projectivity in termes of the possibility of lifting X-valued analytic functions. More precisely we have:

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a complex Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent :*

i. *X is H^1-projective.*

ii. *There exist a constant λ and a metric surjection $\sigma : \ell^1(I) \to X$ such that $\tilde{\sigma} : \widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I)) \to \widetilde{H}^1(X)$ is a λ-surjection.*

iii. *Every surjection ρ from some Banach space Y onto X induces a surjection $\tilde{\rho} : \widetilde{H}^1(Y) \to \widetilde{H}^1(X)$.*
Moreover, the smallest λ satisfying ii. is equal to $\eta(X)$.

Proof : Note that if S_X is the unit sphere of X then the operator $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^1(S_X), X)$ defined by

$$\sigma((\alpha_x)_{x\in S_X}) = \sum_{x\in S_X} \alpha_x . x$$

is clearly a metric surjection. Now since we always have $\ell^1(I)\hat{\otimes}H^1 = \ell^1(I, H^1) = \widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I))$, it is immediate that Im $\tilde{\sigma} = \widetilde{H}^1\hat{\otimes}X$.

i. $\Leftrightarrow$ *ii.* This is obvious using the preceding observation.

iii. $\Rightarrow$ *ii.* Clear.

ii. $\Rightarrow$ *iii.* Using the lifting property of $\ell^1(I)$, if $\rho : Y \to X$ is any K-surjection, we can find $v : \ell^1(I) \to Y$ such that $\rho\circ v = \sigma$ and $\|v\| \le K$.

Let $f \in \widetilde{H}^1(X)$. Since $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a λ-surjection, we can find $g \in \widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I))$ with $\tilde{\sigma}(g) = f$ and $\|g\| \le \lambda\|f\|$. Consider then $h = \tilde{v}(g) \in \widetilde{H}^1(Y)$ obviously $\tilde{\rho}(h) = f$ and $\|h\| \le \lambda K\|f\|$. □

Remark. We can replace in the preceding proposition $\widetilde{H}^1$ by $\widetilde{H}^p$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$, this follows from proposition 1.1.

Proposition 2.3, below, expresses H^1-projectivity by means of the possibility of extending some operators defined on H^1. To this end we need the following notation. We will say that an operator $u \in \mathcal{L}(L^1, X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}(H^1, X)$) belongs to $\mathcal{L}_F(L^1, X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X)$) if there exists a positive integer k_u such that $u(e^{in(\cdot)}) = 0$ for every $n \notin [-k_u, k_u]$ (resp. $n > k_u$).

Proposition 2.3. *For every complex Banach space X, the following assertions are equivalent :*

i. *X is H^1-projective.*

ii. *There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that every $v \in \mathcal{L}(H^1, X^*)$ has an extention $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{L}(L^1, X^*)$, i.e. $\bar{v}_{|H^1} = v$, with $\|\bar{v}\| \le \lambda\|v\|$.*

iii. *There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that every $v \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X^*)$ has an extention $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{L}_F(L^1, X^*)$, with $\|\bar{v}\| \le \lambda\|v\|$.*
Moreover, the smallest constant satisfying ii (resp. iii) is equal to $\eta(X)$.

Proof : *i.* $\Leftrightarrow$ *ii.* This is just duality. Indeed, letting $I : H^1\hat{\otimes}X \to \widetilde{H}^1(X)$ be the canonical inclusion map, H^1-projectivity of X is by definition the statement that I is an isomorphism or equivalently that I^* is an isomorphism. But

$$(H^1\hat{\otimes}X)^* = \mathcal{L}(H^1, X^*).$$

And

$$(\widetilde{H}^1(X))^* = \mathcal{L}(L^1, X^*)/[\widetilde{H}^1(X)]^\perp$$

with $[\widetilde{H}^1(X)]^\perp = \{v \in \mathcal{L}(L^1, X^*) \ : \ v_{|H^1} = 0\}$.

So H^1-projectivity of X is equivalent to the statement that $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{L}(L^1, X^*) \to \mathcal{L}(H^1, X^*) : \ v \mapsto v_{|H^1}$ is onto and this is *ii.*

ii. $\Rightarrow$ *iii.* $\Rightarrow$ *i.* This is easy using the operators $\Phi_{n,r}$ (see section 1). Details are left as an exercise to the reader. □

In the next theorem we show that X is H^1-projective if and only if every operator in $\mathcal{L}(X^*, H^\infty)$ factors through some $\ell^\infty(I)$-space.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a complex Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent :*

i. *X is H^1-projective.*

ii. *There exist a constant K and an isometric embedding $j : X^* \to \ell^\infty(I)$ such that every operator $u \in \mathcal{L}(X^*, H^\infty)$ extends to an operator $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty(I), H^\infty)$ with $\bar{u}\circ j = u$ and $\|\bar{u}\| \le K\|u\|$.*

iii. There exists a constant K such that for every isometric embedding $l : X^* \longrightarrow Z$ of X^* into a Banach space Z; every operator $u \in \mathcal{L}(X^*, H^\infty)$ extends to an operator $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{L}(Z, H^\infty)$ with $\bar{u}{\circ}l = u$ and $\|\bar{u}\| \leq K\|u\|$.

Proof : $i \Rightarrow ii$. By Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 1.1 we can find a metric surjection $\sigma : \ell^1(I) \to X$ such that $\tilde{\sigma} : \widetilde{H}^\infty(\ell^1(I)) \to \widetilde{H}^\infty(X)$ is a K_1-surjection for some K_1. Cleary $j = \sigma^* : X^* \to \ell^\infty(I)$ is an isometric embedding.

Consider $u \in \mathcal{L}(X^*, H^\infty)$ and put $u_n = \Phi_{n,2}{\circ}u$ where $\Phi_{n,2} : H^\infty \to H^\infty : f \mapsto f * V_{n,2}$. Obviously u_n takes its values in the span of $\{e^{ik(.)}\}_{0\leq k\leq 2n}$ so we can find, for each n, a sequence $x_0^{(n)}, ..., x_{2n}^{(n)}$ such that

$$\forall x^* \in X^*, \qquad u_n(x^*) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} x^*(x_k^{(n)})e^{ik(.)}$$

So if $f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{ik(.)}x_k^{(n)} \in \widetilde{H}^\infty(X)$ we obtain

$$\|f_n\|_{\widetilde{H}^\infty} = \|u_n\| \leq \|u\|\|V_{n,2}\| \leq 3\|u\| \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\forall x^* \in X^*, \qquad u_n(x^*) = \tilde{x}^*(f_n). \tag{2.3}$$

Using the hypothesis we can find $g_n \in \widetilde{H}^\infty(\ell^\infty(I))$ such that

$$\tilde{\sigma}(g_n) = f_n \text{ and } \|g_n\| \leq K_1\|f_n\|. \tag{2.4}$$

Define $\bar{u}_n \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty(I), H^\infty)$ by $\bar{u}_n(z^*) = \tilde{z}^*(g_n)$ for every $z^* \in (\ell^1(I))^* = \ell^\infty(I)$. Obviously by (2.4) and (2.2) we obtain

$$\forall n, \qquad \bar{u}_n{\circ}j = u_n \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{u}_n\| \leq 3K_1\|u\|. \tag{2.5}$$

Let now $\mathcal{U}$ be a non trivial ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Using the fact that $(L^1/H^1)^* = H^\infty$, we can define for each $t \in \ell^\infty(I)$ the following limit in the weak$-*$ topology $\bar{u}(t) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \bar{u}_n(t)$. We conclude immediately that $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty(I), H^\infty)$ with $\|\bar{u}\| \leq 3K_1\|u\|$. On the other hand, for each $x^* \in X^*$ and each $f \in L^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{u}{\circ}j(x^*), f\rangle &= \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle \bar{u}_n{\circ}j(x^*), f\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle u_n(x^*), f\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle V_{n,2} * u(x^*), f\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle u(x^*), V_{n,2} * f\rangle \\ &= \langle u(x^*), f\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds since $\{f * V_{n,2}\}_n$ converges to f in the L^1-norm. We conclude that $\bar{u}{\circ}j = u$ and *ii.* follows.

ii. $\Rightarrow$ *iii.* Let $l : X^* \to Z$ be an isometric embedding, then by the Hahn-Banach theorem we can find $\bar{\jmath} : Z \to \ell^\infty(I)$ such that $\bar{\jmath}{\circ}l = j$ and $\|\bar{\jmath}\| = \|j\| = 1$.

Consider $u \in \mathcal{L}(X^*, H^\infty)$, there is $\bar{u}_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty(I), H^\infty)$ such that $\bar{u}_1{\circ}j = u$ and $\|\bar{u}_1\| \leq K\|u\|$. Define then $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_1{\circ}\bar{\jmath} \in \mathcal{L}(Z, H^\infty)$. Clearly $\bar{u}{\circ}l = u$ and $\|\bar{u}\| \leq K\|u\|$.

iii. $\Rightarrow$ *i.* Let $\sigma : \ell^1(I) \to X$ be any metric surjection. We will show that $\tilde{\sigma} : \widetilde{H}^\infty(\ell^1(I)) \to \widetilde{H}^\infty(X)$ is a surjection. This implies the result, by Propositions 1.1 and 2.2 .

Let $f \in \widetilde{H}^\infty(X)$, and consider the operator $u : X^* \to H^\infty$ defined by $u(x^*) = \tilde{x}^*(f)$. Clearly $\|u\| = \|f\|_{\tilde{H}^\infty(X)}$ and by *iii.* we can find $\bar{u} : \ell^\infty(I) \to H^\infty$ such that

$$\bar{u}{\circ}\sigma^* = u \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{u}\| \leq K\|u\| = K\|f\|_{\tilde{H}^\infty(X)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $e_i \in \ell^\infty(I)$ be defined by $e_i(j) = 0$ if $j \neq i$ and $e_i(j) = 1$ if $j = i$, and put $g_i = \bar{u}(e_i) \in H^\infty$. It is easy to see that $g = (g_i)_{i\in I} \in \widetilde{H}^\infty(\ell^1(I))$ and that

$$\forall z^* \in (\ell^1(I))^*, \qquad \bar{u}(z^*) = \tilde{z}^*(g)$$

moreover, $\|g\|_{\widetilde{H}^\infty(\ell^1(I))} = \|\bar{u}\| \leq K\|f\|_{\tilde{H}^\infty(X)}$ by (2.6). On the other hand, the equality $\bar{u}{\circ}\sigma^* = u$ from (2.6) is equivalent to $\tilde{\sigma}(g) = f$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

In what follows we give a characterization of H^1-projective dual spaces.

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a complex Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent :*

i. *X^* is H^1-projective.*

ii. *There exists a constant λ such that every operator $v \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X)$ has an extention $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{L}_F(L^1, X)$ such that $\|\bar{v}\| \le \lambda \|v\|$.*

Moreover, the smallest λ satisfying ii. is equal to $\eta(X^*)$.

Proof : *i.* $\Rightarrow$ *ii.* Let $j : X \to X^*$ be the natural embedding. Consider $v \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

By proposition 2.3. *iii* there exists an extention $v_1 \in \mathcal{L}_F(L^1, X^{**})$ of $j \circ v$ such that $\|v_1\| \le \lambda \|v\|$.

Let $G = \mathrm{Im}\ v_1 \subset X^{**}$ and $F = \{0\}$; using the local reflexivity principle (see Proposition 1.2), we find $T : G \to X$ such that $\|T\| \le 1 + \varepsilon$ and $T_{|G \cap X} = id_{|G\cap X}$. So if we put $\bar{v} = T \circ v_1$, we obtain $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{L}_F(L^1, X)$ such that $\|\bar{v}\| \le (1+\varepsilon)\lambda\|v\|$ and $\bar{v}_{|H^1} = v$. This last equality comes from the fact $\mathrm{Im}\ v_{1|H^1} \subset G \cap X$.

ii. $\Rightarrow$ *i.* We need the following lemma.

Lemma. *The set $\{v \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X)\ :\ \|v\| \le 1\}$ is dense in the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(H^1, X^{**})$ with respect to the weak-$*$ topology (i.e. $\sigma(\mathcal{L}(H^1, X^{**}), H^1 \otimes X^*)$).*

Proof of the lemma : If the lemma is false, then by the Hahn-Banach theorem, we can find u in the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(H^1, X^{**})$, f in $H^1 \hat{\otimes} X^*$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X),\ \|v\| \le 1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Re}(\langle u, f\rangle - \langle v, f\rangle) \ge 4\varepsilon \tag{2.7}$$

Since the set of analytic X^*-valued polynomials $\mathcal{P}_+(X^*)$ is norm-dense in $H^1 \hat{\otimes} X^*$, we can find $g = \sum_0^n e^{ik(.)}\ b_k \in \mathcal{P}_+(X^*)$ such that (2.7) becomes

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X),\ \|v\| \le 1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Re}(\langle u, g\rangle - \langle v, g\rangle) \ge 2\varepsilon \tag{2.8}$$

Define $r > 1$ by the condition

$$\frac{3}{r+2}\,|\langle u, g\rangle| < \varepsilon \tag{2.9}$$

and consider $u_1 = \frac{r-1}{r+1} u \circ \Phi_{n,r}$ (see section 1 for the notation), clearly $u_1 \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X^{**})$ and $\|u_1\| \le \frac{r+1}{r+2}\|u\| < 1$. Moreover, using (2.8) and (2.9) we have for every $v \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X)$ of norm smaller than one:

$$\mathrm{Re}(\langle u_1, g\rangle - \langle v, g\rangle) \ge \mathrm{Re}(\langle u, g\rangle - \langle v, g\rangle) - \frac{3}{r+2}\,|\langle u, g\rangle| > \varepsilon.$$

So we have found u_1 in the open unit ball of $\mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X^{**})$ and $g = \sum_0^n e^{ik(.)}\ b_k \in \mathcal{P}_+(X^*)$ such that

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X),\ \|v\| \le 1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Re}(\langle u_1, g\rangle - \langle v, g\rangle) \ge \varepsilon. \tag{2.10}$$

Consider now the finite-dimentional spaces

$$F = \mathrm{Span}\{b_k :\ 0 \le k \le n\} \subset X^* \quad \text{and} \quad G = \mathrm{Span}\{u_1(e^{ik(.)}) :\ k \ge 0\} \subset X^{**}$$

By the local reflexivity principle there exists an operator $T : G \to X$ satisfying

i. $T_{|G\cap X} = id_{|G\cap X}$.

ii. $\|T\| \le \frac{1}{\|u_1\|}$.

iii. $\forall y \in F,\quad \forall z \in G,\ \langle z, y\rangle = \langle T(z), y\rangle$.

We define then $v \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X)$ by $v = T \circ u_1$. It is easily seen that $\|v\| \le 1$ and $\langle u_1, g\rangle = \langle v, g\rangle$. This contradicts (2.10) and proves the lemma. □

We can now finish the proof of *ii.* $\Rightarrow$ *i.* Take $f = \sum_0^n e^{ik(.)}\ a_k$ an element of $H^1 \otimes X^*$, then the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H^1 \hat{\otimes} X^*} &= \ \mathrm{Sup}\ \{|\langle u, f\rangle| :\ u \in \mathcal{L}(H^1, X^{**}),\ \|u\| \le 1\} \\ &= \ \mathrm{Sup}\ \{|\langle u, f\rangle| :\ u \in \mathcal{L}_F(H^1, X),\ \|u\| \le 1\} \\ &\le \lambda \|f\|_{\widetilde{H^1(X^*)}}, \end{aligned}$$

the second equality comes from the lemma, and the last inequality comes from the hypothesis. This, of course, achieves the proof. □

Corollary 2.6. *A complex Banach space X is H^1-projective, if and only if, X^{**} is H^1-projective . Moreover, $\eta(X) = \eta(X^{**})$.*

This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.5.

Remark. Let $j : X \to \ell^\infty(J)$ be any isometric embedding, then $\sigma = j^* : (\ell^\infty(J))^* \to X^*$ is a metric surjection. Since $(\ell^\infty(J))^*$ is an abstract L^1-space, it is isometric to some $L^1(\mu)$. Now if X^* is H^1-projective, then $\tilde{\sigma} : \widetilde{H}^\infty(L^1(\mu)) \to \widetilde{H}^\infty(X^*)$ is a surjection. But using the fact that $\sigma = j^*$ and a remark from [**HP**] we see that $\tilde{\sigma} : H^\infty(L^1(\mu)) \to H^\infty(X^*)$ is also a surjection. So we obtain the follwing :

X^* is H^1-projective, if and only if, there exists a metric surjection $\sigma : L^1(\mu) \to X^*$ such that $\tilde{\sigma} : H^\infty(L^1(\mu)) \to H^\infty(X^*)$ is a surjection.

Note that this does not follow from corollary 3.2 below.

3. Martingale inequalities in H^1-projective spaces

Let us start this section by recalling some definitions and notation about Hardy martingales. The notion of Hardy martingales appeared first in [**Ga**], but was already implicit in [**E2**].

We consider the infinite dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and denote by θ_n the nth coordinate of a point Θ in $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ denote $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with its normalized Haar measure. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_n$ the σ-algebra generated by $(\theta_1, ..., \theta_n)$ on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence in $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}; X)$ which is a martingale with respect to the sequence of σ-algebras $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n\geq 0}$. We will say that $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a Hardy martingale, if for each fixed $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_{n-1}$ the function

$$\theta \mapsto M_n(\theta_1, ..., \theta_{n-1}, \theta) \tag{3.1}$$

is in $\widetilde{H}^1(X)$. Let $\mathrm{d}M_n = M_n - M_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$,and $\mathrm{d}M_0 = M_0$. Equivalently we require that $\mathrm{d}M_n$ satisfies

$$\forall k \geq 1 \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{d}M_n(\theta_1, ..., \theta_{n-1}, \theta)\ e^{ik\theta} dm(\theta) = 0.$$

Finally, if the function in (3.1) is always of the form $x + e^{i\theta}y$ for some $x, y \in X$, then $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is called an analytic martingale.

The following proposition is a combination of results from [**GM**] and [**HP**].

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be an H^1-projective space. Then every L^1-bounded X-valued Hardy martingale satisfies*

$$\forall m \geq 1, \qquad \left[\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\mathbb{E}\|dM_n\|)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\eta(X)\mathbb{E}\|M_m\|. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof : It is sufficient to prove the result for Hardy martingales $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ whose differences $\mathrm{d}M_n(\theta_1, ..., \theta_n)$ are X-valued trigonometric polynomiales in the variables $\theta_1, ..., \theta_n$. So we assume this in the sequel.

Let ε be an arbitrary positive number, and m a positive integer . By a result of [**GM**] there exist an analytic function $F : \mathcal{D} \to X$, a continuous function $p : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and an increasing sequence $0 < r_0 < r_1 < ... < r_n < ... < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &1. \quad p^*(dm(\theta)) = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} dm(\theta_n) \\ &2. \quad F(0) = M_0 \\ &3. \quad \forall n, \forall \theta \in \mathbb{T}, \qquad \left\|F(r_{n+1}e^{i\theta}) - F(r_n e^{i\theta}) - \mathrm{d}M_{n+1}(p(\theta))\right\|_X \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Define then $G(\theta) = F(r_m e^{i\theta})$, so that we have $G \in \widetilde{H}^1(X)$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.2, there exists a metric surjection $\sigma : \ell^1(I) \to X$ such that $\tilde{\sigma} : \widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I)) \to \widetilde{H}^1(X)$ is an $\eta(X)$-surjection . So we can find $\tilde{G} \in \widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I))$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{G}) = G \quad \text{ and } \quad \left\|\tilde{G}\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I))} \leq \eta(X)\|G\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)} \tag{3.4}$$

Let P_r be the Poisson kernel, and let $\tilde{G}_r$ denote $\tilde{G} * P_r$. We know using a result from [**HP**] that

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left\|\tilde{G}_{\rho_{n+1}} - \tilde{G}_{\rho_n}\right\|^2_{\tilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I))}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\left\|\tilde{G}_{\rho_m}\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I))} \leq 2\left\|\tilde{G}\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I))}$$

for every sequence $\rho_0 < \rho_1 < ... < \rho_m \leq 1$.

Using (3.4), we can go back to G and obtain

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left\|G_{\rho_{n+1}} - G_{\rho_n}\right\|^2_{\tilde{H}^1(X)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\eta(X)\|G\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Taking $\rho = \frac{r_n}{r_m}$ for $0 \leq n \leq m$, we can write (3.5) as follows

$$\left[\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\|F(r_{n+1}e^{i\theta}) - F(r_n e^{i\theta})\right\|_X dm(\theta)\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\eta(X) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\|F(r_m e^{i\theta})\right\|_X dm(\theta)$$

taking into account (3.3) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left|\ \|\mathrm{d}M_{n+1}(p(\theta))\ \|_X - \varepsilon\right|\ dm(\theta)\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 2\eta(X) \int_{\mathbb{T}} (\|M_m(p(\theta))\ \|_X + m\varepsilon)\, dm(\theta) \\ \left[\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} (\mathbb{E}\|\mathrm{d}M_{n+1}\|_X)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 2\eta(X)\mathbb{E}\|M_m\|_X + (2\eta(X)m + \sqrt{m}\ )\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary we obtain (3.2) by letting $\varepsilon \to 0$. $\square$

Following Xu in [**X**], a quasi-Banach space X will be called Hardy-convexifiable, if it has an equivalent quasi-norm $\|.\|_X$ such that, for some $p > 0$ and $c > 0$,we have

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{P}_+(X), \quad \|f(0)\|_X^p + c\|f - f(0)\|^p_{H^1(X)} \leq \|f\|^p_{H^1(X)}.$$

It is shown in [**X**] that if a Banach space X satisfies a martingale inequality like (3.2), then it is Hardy-convexifiable and in particular it has the analytic Radon-Nikodym property. So we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Every H^1-projective Banach space X has an equivalent Hardy-convex quasi-norm. In particular X has the super-analytic Radon-Nikodym property.*

Using this corollary, one sees that the H^1-projectivity of X is equivalent to the apparently stronger property : $H^1\hat{\otimes}X = H^1(X)$.

In order to state the next proposition we need to the following definition.

Definition 3.3 *[**Ga**]. We will say that X has the property U.H.M.D. (Unconditionality of Hardy Martingale Differences), if there exists a constant K such that for every Hardy martingale $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and every choice of signs $\varepsilon \in \{+1, -1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we have*

$$\forall m, \qquad \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{m} \varepsilon_n dM_n\right\|_X \leq K\mathbb{E}\|M_m\|_X.$$

Theorem 3.4. *Every H^1-projective Banach space X has the property U.H.M.D.*

Proof : The proof is decomposed into two steps which involve classical arguments. We first prove the following:

Step 1. *Let X be a complex Banach space. Suppose that there exist two sequences of integers $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that $\forall n \;\; a_n\lambda_n < a_{n+1}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda_n = +\infty$; and that there exists some constant K, such that for every function $f \in \widetilde{H}^1(X)$ satisfying $\hat{f}(n) = 0, \forall n \notin \cup_k [a_k, \lambda_k a_k]$ and for every $\varepsilon \in \{+1,-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the following inequality holds*

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \left(\sum_{a_n \leq r \leq \lambda_n a_n} \hat{f}(r) e^{ir(.)} \right) \right\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)} \leq K \|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then X is U.M.H.D.

Proof of step 1: Consider the Hardy martingale $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ defind by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}M_0 &= M_0, \\ \mathrm{d}M_n &= \sum_{(p_1,..,p_n)\in A_n} M_{n,p_1,..,p_n} \, \exp\left(i \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \theta_k \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $A_n = \{(p_1,..,p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \;\; p_n > 0 \;\; \text{and} \;\; \forall k \leq n \;\; |p_k| \leq r_n\}$. It is clearly sufficient to prove the result for such martingales.

We construct inductively two sequences of integers $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}, (\ell_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying:

1. $(\ell_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is strictly increasing.
2. $\forall \; n, \forall \; (p_1,...,p_n) \in A_n$ we have $a_{\ell_n} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k p_k \leq \lambda_{\ell_n} a_{\ell_n}$. (3.8)

To start the induction we find ℓ_1 satisfying $\lambda_{\ell_1} > r_1$ and put $\mu_1 = a_{\ell_1}$, so that $a_{\ell_1} \leq \mu_1 \leq r_1\mu_1 < \lambda_{\ell_1}\mu_1$.

Suppose $\ell_1,...,\ell_{n-1}; \mu_1,...,\mu_{n-1}$ are already constructed. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda_n = +\infty$, we can find $\ell_n > \max\,(r_n, \ell_{n-1})$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_{\ell_n}}{r_n} - 1 \right) a_{\ell_n} \geq 2 + (r_n + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_k.$$

This yields that

$$\frac{\lambda_{\ell_n}}{r_n} a_{\ell_n} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_k \geq 2 + a_{\ell_n} + r_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_k.$$

So we can find μ_n such that

$$\mu_n \in [a_{\ell_n} + r_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_k, \frac{\lambda_{\ell_n}}{r_n} a_{\ell_n} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_k] \cap \mathbb{N}.$$

Equivalently,

$$a_{\ell_n} + r_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_k \leq \mu_n \leq r_n \mu_n \leq \lambda_{\ell_n} a_{\ell_n} - r_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_k.$$

This gives (3.8), and achieves the construction.

Now, fix $\Theta = (\theta_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and consider $\eta \mapsto G_m(\Theta, \eta), \;\; \eta \in \mathbb{T}$ defined by

$$G_m(\Theta, \eta) = M_m(\theta_1 + \mu_1\eta, ..., \theta_n + \mu_n\eta).$$

Using (3.8), it is easily seen that $G_m(\Theta, .)$ is an element of $\widetilde{H}^1(X)$ satisfying the hypothesis of step 1. So for every $\varepsilon \in \{+1, -1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{m} \varepsilon_n \left(\sum_{A_n} M_{n,p_1,..,p_n} \exp\left(i \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k(\theta_k + \mu_k \eta) \right) \right) \right\|_X dm(\eta) \leq K \int_{\mathbb{T}} \| G_m(\Theta, \eta) \|_X \, dm(\eta)$$

It is then sufficient to integrate with respect to Θ and to use the translation invariance of the Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{m} \varepsilon_n \mathrm{d}M_n \right\|_X \leq K \mathbb{E} \| M_m \|_X .$$

Step 2. *For every H^1-projective space X and every sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n = +\infty$, there exist a sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\forall n, \quad a_{n+1} > \lambda_n a_n$, and a constant K, with the following property: for every function $f \in \widetilde{H}^1(X)$ satisfying $\hat{f}(n) = 0$, for all $n \notin \cup_k [a_k, \lambda_k a_k]$ and for every $\varepsilon \in \{+1, -1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \left(\sum_{a_n \leq r \leq \lambda_n a_n} \hat{f}(r) e^{ir(.)} \right) \right\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)} \leq K \eta(X) \| f \|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)} .$$

This step is proved just as in the classical case of $X = \mathbb{C}$. See for instance [**CW**.Theorem 2.1]. □

4. H^1-projectivity, Grothendieck's theorem and cotype 2 spaces

Let us first recall some basic definitions. We refer the reader to [**P1**] for more details and other references.

Let $G = \{+1, -1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, let μ be the uniform probability measure on G, and let $\varepsilon_n : G \to \{+1, -1\}$ be the n^{th} coordinate . A Banach space X is said to be of cotype 2, if there is a constant c such that for all $x_1, ..., x_n$ in X we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \| x_k \|_X^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k x_k \right\|_X^2 \right)^{1/2} .$$

We denote $c_2(X)$ the smallest constant c for which this holds.

We say that an operator $u \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is 1-summing, if there is a constant c such that for all $x_1, ..., x_n$ in X we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \| u(x_k) \|_Y \leq c \text{ Sup } \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\langle \xi, x_k \rangle| : \ \xi \in X^*, \ \| \xi \| \leq 1 \right\} .$$

We denote $\pi_1(u)$ the smallest constant c with this property.

We can now give the following definition.

Definition 4.1 [**P1**]. We will say that a Banach space X satisfies Grothedieck's theorem (in short G.T.) if every operator from X into a Hilbert space is 1-summing.

We will show that H^1-projective spaces are G.T. spaces of cotype 2. To this end, we will use the following result [**P1**, Theorem 6.8] .

Proposition 4.2. *A Banach space X is a G.T. space of cotype 2, if and only if, there are a metric surjection $\sigma : \ell^1(I) \to X$ and a constant c such that for every $x_1, ..., x_n$ in X there are $\tilde{x}_1, ..., \tilde{x}_n$ in $\ell^1(I)$ such that*

$$\forall k \leq n, \quad \sigma(\tilde{x}_k) = x_k \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \tilde{x}_k \right\|_{\ell^1(I)} \leq c \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k x_k \right\|_X . \tag{4.1}$$

Let us make some comments. A result from [**P2**] says that there exist numerical constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that for every complex Banach space X, the following holds: $\forall x_1, ..., x_n \in X$

$$\beta \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k x_k \right\|_X \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{i 3^k \theta} x_k \right\|_X dm(\theta) \leq \alpha \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k x_k \right\|_X$$

So we can replace (4.1) by

$$\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{i3^k\theta}\tilde{x}_k\right\|_{\tilde{H}^1(\ell^1(I))} \le c\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{i3^k\theta}x_k\right\|_{\tilde{H}^1(X)} \tag{4.1$'$}$$

On the other hand, let X be a complex Banach space and let S be a subspace of some L^1. Denote by $\widetilde{S}(X)$ the closed subspace of $L^1(X)$ generated by $S \otimes X$. Clearly there is a canonical embedding $S\hat{\otimes}X \hookrightarrow \widetilde{S}(X)$. We say that X is S-projective if this map is an isomorphism. Let Rad^1 be the closed span of $\{\varepsilon_n : n \ge 1\}$ in $L^1(\mu)$.

Now, if we put $S = H^1$, we obtain H^1-projective spaces whereas; if S is either Rad^1 or equivalently $\overline{\mathrm{span}}\{e^{i3^n(\cdot)} : n \ge 1\}$ then, by Proposition 4.2 we obtain precisely G.T. spaces of cotype 2.

These remarks explain the analogy between H^1-projective spaces and G.T. spaces of cotype 2, and are also behind the proof of the following theorem .

Theorem 4.3. *Every H^1-projective Banach space is a G.T. space of cotype 2.*

Proof : Our proof does not use the result of [**P2**] but, instead, the following well-known lemma, whose proof is elementary and omitted.

Lemma. *If f is a continuous function, $f : \mathbb{T}^{p+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\theta, n\theta, ..., n^p\theta)\, dm(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{p+1}} f(\theta_0, ..., \theta_p)\, dm(\theta_0)...dm(\theta_p).$$

Let X be an H^1-projective Banach space. Then there exists $\sigma : \ell^1(J) \to x$ such that $\tilde{\sigma} : \widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(J)) \to \widetilde{H}^1(X)$ is an $\eta(X)$-surjection.

Let $x_1, ..., x_m \in X$, using the lemma we find $n > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} e^{in^k\theta}\ x_k\right\|_X dm(\theta) \le 2\int_{\mathbb{T}^m}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} e^{i\theta_k}\ x_k\right\|_X dm(\theta_1)...dm(\theta_m) \tag{4.2}$$

But the function $f(\theta) = \sum_1^m e^{in^k\theta}\ x_k$ is in $\widetilde{H}^1(X)$ so we can find $h = \sum_0^\infty e^{ip\theta}\ h_p \in \widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(J))$ with

$$\tilde{\sigma}(h) = f \quad \text{ and } \quad \|h\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(J))} \le \eta(X)\|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(X)} \tag{4.3}$$

For a fixed $j \in J$, we have by Paley's inequality [**Z**, II.p.121]

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}|h_{n^k}(j)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 2\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} h_p(j)e^{ip\theta}\right| dm(\theta) \tag{4.4}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m}\varepsilon_k h_{n^k}(j)\right| \le \left(\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m}\varepsilon_k h_{n^k}(j)\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}|h_{n^k}(j)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.5}$$

Putting together (4.4) and (4.5), and taking the sum over all $j \in J$; we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m}\varepsilon_k h_{n^k}\right\|_{\ell^1(J)} \le 2\|h\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(\ell^1(J))} \tag{4.6}$$

Let us define $\tilde{x}_k = h_{n^k} \in \ell^1(J)$. Using (4.2), (4.3) and (4.6), we obtain $\forall\, k \le m,\quad \sigma(\tilde{x}_k) = x_k$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m}\varepsilon_k\tilde{x}_k\right\|_{\ell^1(J)} \le 4\eta(X)\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} e^{i\theta_k}x_k\right\|_X \tag{4.7}$$

But in general we always have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} e^{i\theta_k} x_k\right\|_X \leq 2\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} \varepsilon_k x_k\right\|_X .$$

So finally, we have proved that for every $x_1, ..., x_m$ in X there are $\tilde{x}_1, ..., \tilde{x}_m$ in $\ell^1(J)$ such that

$$\forall k \leq m, \quad \sigma(\tilde{x}_k) = x_k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} \varepsilon_k \tilde{x}_k\right\|_{\ell^1(I)} \leq 8\eta(X)\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} \varepsilon_k x_k\right\|_X$$

This, by Proposition 4.2, proves that X is a G.T. space of cotype 2. □

Remark . One can deduce Proposition 3.1 from Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 4.3; this yields a proof that does not make use of the result of [**GM**].

Using deeper methods, we were able in [**K**] to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Every H^1-projective space X can be isometrically embedded in an H^1-projective space Y satisfying*

i. $Y\hat{\otimes}Y = Y\check{\otimes}Y$.
ii. Y^* *is a G.T. space of cotype 2.*
where $Y\check{\otimes}Y$ *is the injective tensor product of* Y *by* Y.

5. H^1-projectivity, and ultraproducts

The main result of this section is Proposition 5.4, which asserts that the class of H^1-projective Banach spaces is closed under the formation of ultraproducts . We now give the definition. Let $(E_i)_{i\in I}$ be a familly of Banach spaces . Consider the space $\ell^\infty(I, (E_i)_{i\in I})$ of families $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ with $x_i \in E_i$ $(i \in I)$ and

$$\|(x_i)_{i\in I}\| = \text{ Sup } \{\|x_i\|_{E_i} : i \in I\} < +\infty.$$

$\ell^\infty(I, (E_i)_{i\in I})$ equipped with this norm is a Banah space . Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafilter on I and let $N_\mathcal{U}$ be the subset of all those families $(x_i)_{i\in I} \in \ell^\infty(I, (E_i)_{i\in I})$ with

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_i\|_{E_i} = 0.$$

Obviously, $N_\mathcal{U}$ is a linear subspace of $\ell^\infty(I, (E_i)_{i\in I})$ and it follows by a standard argument that $N_\mathcal{U}$ is closed . Now we are ready to recall the following definition.

Definition 5.1. The ultraproduct $(\prod E_i)/\mathcal{U}$ of the family of Banach spaces $(E_i)_{i\in I}$ with respect to the ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ is the quotient space $\ell^\infty(I, (E_i)_{i\in I})/N_\mathcal{U}$ equipped with the canonical quotient norm .

For more about ultraproducts, we refer the reader to [**H**].

We fix the following notation. If X is a complex Banach space, let $\mathcal{P}_n(X)$ denotes the set of analytic X-valued polynomials of degre $\leq n$:

$$\mathcal{P}_n(X) = \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} z^k a_k : z \in \mathbb{T} \text{ and } a_k \in X\right\}$$

For a positive integer r we denote by A_r the set $\{\omega_r^k : k = 0, ..., r-1\}$ of rth roots of 1, *i.e.* $\omega_r = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{r})$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let X be a complex Banach space . Then $\forall n \geq 1, \forall \varepsilon \in]0,1[, \forall s \geq \left(1 + \left[\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right]\right) n$, and $\forall f \in \mathcal{P}_n(X)$ we have*

$$(1-\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\|f(e^{i\theta})\right\|_X dm(\theta) \leq \frac{1}{s} \sum_{\omega \in A_s} \|f(\omega)\|_X \leq (1-\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\|f(e^{i\theta})\right\|_X dm(\theta)$$

The proof of this lemma can be found in [**Z**,Ch.X], we include it for the convenience of the reader . Proof : Note first that if $g = \sum_{-m}^{m} z^k a_k$ with $a_k \in X$, then

$$\forall s > m, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(e^{it})\, dm(t) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{\omega \in A_s} g(\omega). \tag{5.1}$$

Let now f be an element of $\mathcal{P}_n(X)$, then for every $r > 1$

$$f(e^{i\theta}) = f * V_{n,r}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) V_{n,r}(\theta - t)\, dt, \tag{5.2}$$

and using (5.1) we obtain that

$$\forall s \geq n(r+1), \quad f(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} f(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{s}k}) V_{n,r}(\theta - \frac{2\pi}{s}k). \tag{5.3}$$

By (5.2), for every $s \geq n(r+1)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \left\|f(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{s}k})\right\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\|f(e^{it})\right\|_X \left(\frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \left| V_{n,r}(\frac{2\pi}{s}k - t) \right| \right) dt \tag{5.4}$$

but from the definition of the De La Vallée-Poussin kernel we have

$$\frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \left| V_{n,r}(\frac{2\pi}{s}k - t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{r-1} \left(\frac{r}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} K_{rn}(\frac{2\pi}{s}k - t) + \frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} K_n(\frac{2\pi}{s}k - t) \right)$$

so using (5.1), we obtain easily that

$$\frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \left| V_{n,r}(\frac{2\pi}{s}k - t) \right| \leq \frac{r+1}{r-1}$$

and replacing this majorization in (5.4), we get that for every $s \geq n(r+1)$

$$\frac{1}{s} \sum_{\omega \in A_s} \|f(\omega)\|_X \leq \frac{r+1}{r-1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\|f(e^{it})\right\|_X dt \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, starting from (5.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\|f(e^{i\theta})\right\|_X dm(\theta) &\leq \frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \left\|f(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{s}k})\right\|_X \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| V_{n,r}(\theta - \frac{2\pi}{s}k) \right| dm(\theta) \\ &\leq \|V_{n,r}\|_1 \left(\frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \left\|f(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{s}k})\right\|_X \right) \\ &\leq \frac{r+1}{r-1} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{\omega \in A_s} \|f(\omega)\|_X. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

It is then sufficient to choose $r = \left[\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right]$ in (5.5) and (5.6). □

The following caracterization of H^1-projectivity is more adapted to ultraproducts.

Proposition 5.3. *For $n \geq 2$, let $B_n = A_{12n}$ and let X be a complex Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent.*

i. *X is H^1-projective.*

ii. *There exist a constant c and a metric surjection. $\sigma : \ell^1(I) \to X$ such that*

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{P}_n(X), \quad \exists g \in \mathcal{P}_{3n}(\ell^1(I)) \ : \ \tilde{\sigma}(g) = f \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|g(\omega)\|_{\ell^1(I)} \leq c \sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|f(\omega)\|_X.$$

Note that by Lemma 5.2, for every complex Banach space Y, we have

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{P}_{3n}(Y), \quad \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\|h(e^{i\theta})\right\|_Y dm(\theta) \leq \frac{1}{|B_n|} \sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|h(\omega)\|_Y \leq 3 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\|h(e^{i\theta})\right\|_Y dm(\theta).$$

Using this remark, the proof of Proposition 5.3 becomes very easy and is left as an exercise for the reader, in particular we can take $c = 18\eta(X)$ in $i. \Rightarrow ii.$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of H^1-projective Banach spaces such that*

$$\eta = \ Sup \ \{\eta(X_i) : i \in I\} < +\infty,$$

then for every ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on I the ultraproduct $(\prod X_i)/\mathcal{U}$ is H^1-projective.

Proof : We know that for each $i \in I$ there exists a metric surjection $\sigma_i : \ell^1(J_i) \to X_i$ such that

$$\forall n \geq 1, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{P}_n(X_i), \ \exists g \in \mathcal{P}_{3n}(\ell^1(J_i)) \ : \ \tilde{\sigma}_i(g) = f \ \text{ and } \sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|g(\omega)\|_{\ell^1(J_i)} \leq c_i \sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|f(\omega)\|_{X_i} \tag{5.7}$$

Moreover, we have $c_i \leq K\eta$, where K is some numerical constant.

Let $L = (\prod \ell^1(J_i))/\mathcal{U}$, we know (see [**H**]) that L is an L^1-space.

Let $q : \prod X_i \to X = (\prod X_i)/\mathcal{U}$ (resp. $\bar{q} : \prod \ell^1(J_i) \to L$) be the canonical quotient map, and let $\sigma = (\prod \sigma_i)/\mathcal{U} : L \to X$ be defind by $\sigma(\bar{q}((x_i)_{i \in I})) = q((\sigma_i(x_i))_{i \in I})$ for all $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod X_i$. It is immediate to see that σ is a metric surjection.

Fix now $n \geq 1$ and $f = \sum_0^n z^k a_k \in \mathcal{P}_n(X)$. By definition of the ultraproduct, for each $k \in \{0, ..., n\}$ we can find $(a_k^i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I, (X_i)_{i \in I})$ which represents $a_k \in X$.

For $i \in I$, let f_i denotes the element $\sum_0^n z^k a_k^i \in \mathcal{P}_n(X_i)$ then for each $z \in \mathbb{T}$ we have $f(z) = q((f_i(z))_{i \in I})$. Consequently, for every $\omega \in B_n$ there exists $I_\omega \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$\forall i \in I_\omega, \quad \|f_i(\omega)\|_{X_i} \leq 2\|f(\omega)\|_X,$$

since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|f_i(\omega)\|_{X_i} = \|f(\omega)\|_X$. Define then $I_n = \cap_{\omega \in B_n} I_\omega \in \mathcal{U}$, we obtain

$$\forall i \in I_n, \quad \forall \omega \in B_n, \quad \|f_i(\omega)\|_{X_i} \leq 2\|f(\omega)\|_X \tag{5.8}$$

Let us now define $(b_k^i)_{i \in I}$ by $b_k^i = a_k^i$ if $i \in I_n$, and $b_k^i = 0$ if $i \notin I_n$. Put $g_i = \sum_0^n z^k b_k^i \in \mathcal{P}_n(X_i)$. Clearly for each $k \leq n$ we have $a_k = q((b_k^i)_{i \in I})$, and by (5.8) we get

$$\forall i \in I, \quad \forall \omega \in B_n, \quad \|g_i(\omega)\|_{X_i} \leq 2\|f(\omega)\|_X \tag{5.9}$$

Now using (5.7) we can find for each $i \in I$ an element $h_i = \sum_0^{3n} z^k t_k^i \in \mathcal{P}_{3n}(\ell^1(J_i))$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}_i(h_i) = g_i$ and

$$\sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|h_i(\omega)\|_{\ell^1(J_i)} \leq K\eta \sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|g_i(\omega)\|_{X_i} \leq 2K\eta \sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|f(\omega)\|_X, \tag{5.10}$$

where the last inequality comes from (5.9).

On the other hand, it is clear that $(t_k^i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I, (\ell^1(J_i))_{i \in I})$ therefore if we put $t_k = \bar{q}((t_k^i)_{i \in I}) \in L$ and consider $h = \sum_0^{3n} z^k t_k \in \mathcal{P}_{3n}(L)$, we obtain $\tilde{\sigma}(h) = f$ and from (5.10), we deduce that

$$\sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|h(\omega)\|_L = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|h_i(\omega)\|_{\ell^1(J_i)} \leq 2K\eta \sum_{\omega \in B_n} \|f(\omega)\|_X.$$

the result follows now by another use of Proposition 5.3. □

Remark. In fact we can show that

$$\eta\left((\prod X_i)/\mathcal{U}\right) \leq \eta = \text{ Sup } \{\eta(X_i) : i \in I\}$$

by refining upon the preceding arguments.

Using this remark and the fact that X^{**} is 1-complemented in some ultrapower $(\prod X)/\mathcal{U}$ of X, we obtain another proof of Corollary 2.6.

6. Exemples and concluding remarks

a. Every $\mathcal{L}^1$-space is H^1-projective. This can be seen directly, or by using Corollary (2.6) and the following fact from [**LR**] : the bidual of an $\mathcal{L}^1$-space is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of an L^1-space.

This statement does not hold for the non-commutative analogues of L^1-spaces Indeed, the trace class operator ideal $C_1 = \ell^2\hat{\otimes}\ell^2$ contains a complemented copy of ℓ^2 therefore C_1 is not H^1-projective. Note that C_1, fails the U.H.M.D. property as it is shown in [**HP**].

b. It is known (*cf.* [**B**]) that L^1/H^1 is a G.T. space of cotype 2, but by a well-known conterexample we know also that L^1/H^1 fails the analytic Radon-Nikodym property. So the convese of Theorem 4.3 does not hold.

c. The next theorem provides us with non-trivial examples of H^1-projective spaces, its proof was implicit in [**BD**], we sketch it for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 6.1. *Let Y be a reflexive subspace of $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, then $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)/Y$ is H^1-projective.*

Proof : The proof is based on the following two facts :

I. If (Ω, μ) is a measure space, then for every $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ the Riesz projection $\Re$ is a continuous operator from $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}, dm; L^1(\Omega, \mu)\right)$ into $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}, dm; L^\alpha(\Omega, \mu)\right)$. See [**BD**].

II. Let Y be a reflexive subspace of $L^1(\Omega, \mu)$, then, modulo a change of density if necessary, the normes $\|.\|_1$ and $\|.\|_p$ are equivalent on Y for some $p > 1$. See [**R**].

Using Fact II and Hölder's inequality we see easily that

$$\forall\, y \in Y, \qquad \|y\|_{L^1(\mu)} \leq c_1 \|y\|_{L^{1/2}(\mu)} \tag{6.1}$$

Let $q : L^1(\Omega, \mu) \to L^1(\Omega, \mu)/Y$ be the quotient map, and consider an element $f \in \widetilde{H}^2(L^1(\Omega, \mu)/Y)$ such that $\|f\|_{\tilde{H}^2(L^1/Y)} < 1$. We can find $g \in L^2\left(\mathbb{T}, dm; L^1(\Omega, \mu)\right)$ satisfying

$$\tilde{q}(g) = f \quad \text{ and } \quad \|g\|_{L^2(L^1)} < 1. \tag{6.2}$$

By Fact I, the negative Riesz projection $\Re_-$ is bounded from $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}, dm; L^1(\Omega, \mu)\right)$ into $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}, dm; L^{1/2}(\Omega, \mu)\right)$. So using (6.1), we get

$$\|\Re_-(g)\|_{L^2(L^{1/2})} \leq c_2. \tag{6.3}$$

Since $\tilde{q}(\Re_-(g)) = \Re_-(\tilde{q}(g)) = 0$, we see that $\Re_-(g)$ takes its values in Y, so by (6.1) and (6.3)

$$\|\Re_-(g)\|_{L^2(L^1)} \leq c_1 c_2.$$

Therefore, if we put $h = g - \Re_-(g) \in \widetilde{H}^2(L^1(\Omega, \mu))$ we have

$$\|h\|_{\tilde{H}^2(L^1(\mu))} \leq (1 + c_1 c_2) = c_3.$$

Consequently, we have proved that for every $f \in \tilde{H}^2(L^1(\Omega, \mu)/Y)$ there exists $h \in \widetilde{H}^2(L^1(\Omega, \mu))$ such that $\tilde{q}(h) = f$ and

$$\|h\|_{\tilde{H}^2(L^1(\mu))} \leq c_3 \|f\|_{\tilde{H}^2(L^1/Y)}.$$

the result follows now from Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 2.2. □

d. It might be of some interest to have estimations for the H^1-projectivity constant of finite-dimensional spaces.

Recall that the Banach-Mazur distance $d(E, F)$ between two finite-dimensional spaces E, F, with $\dim E = \dim F$ is equal to $\inf\{\|T\|\|T^{-1}\|\}$, where the infimum is taken over all isomorphisms $T : E \to F$.

It is immediate that $\eta(E) \leq d(E, F)\eta(F)$ for every pair of finite-dimensional spaces E, F of the same dimension. Therefore, we always have

$$\eta(E) \leq d(E, \ell^1_n). \tag{6.4}$$

In fact we can also prove the following.

Proposition 6.2. *For every* $p \in [1, +\infty]$ *and* $n \geq 1$ *we have the following*

$$\frac{1}{2}.n^{1-1/r} \leq \eta(\ell_n^p) \leq d(\ell_n^p, \ell_n^1) \leq n^{1-1/r} \tag{6.5}$$

where $r = \min(2, p)$.

Proof : The inequality $d(\ell_n^p, \ell_n^1) \leq n^{1-1/r}$ is classical. To see it elementarily take for $T : \ell_n^1 \to \ell_n^p$ the operator defined by $T(x) = x$ if $p \in [1, 2]$ and by $T(x) = W_n(x)$ (where W_n is the matrix $(a_{jk})_{1 \leq j,k \leq n}$ with $a_{jk} = \exp[\frac{2\pi i}{n}(j-1)(k-1)]$) if $p \in [2, +\infty]$.

Using Paley's inequality, we know that the operator $u : H^1 \to \ell_n^2$ defined by $u(h) = (\hat{h}(3), ..., \hat{h}(3^n))$ is of norm smaller than 2 . Therefore,

$$\forall q \in [1, +\infty], \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1, \ell_n^q)} \leq 2\max(1, n^{1/q-1/2}). \tag{6.6}$$

Let $e_1, ..., e_n$ be the canonical basis of ℓ_n^p and consider $f \in \widetilde{H}^1(\ell_n^p))$ defined by $f(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n e^{i3^k\theta}\ e_k$, we have

$$\|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^1(\ell_n^p)} = n^{1/p}. \tag{6.7}$$

Assume that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Using the duality $(H^1 \hat{\otimes} \ell_n^p)^* = \mathcal{L}(H^1, \ell_n^q)$ and the fact that

$$\langle f, u \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle e_k, u(e^{i3^k(.)}) \rangle = n$$

we obtain

$$n \leq \|f\|_{H^1 \hat{\otimes} \ell_n^p}\ \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1, \ell_n^q)} \leq 2\max(1, n^{1/q-1/2})\|f\|_{H^1 \hat{\otimes} \ell_n^p},$$

the last inequality comes from (6.6). On the other hand, if we use (6.7) and the definition of H^1-projectivity, we deduce easily that

$$n \leq 2\max(1, n^{1/q-1/2})\eta(\ell_n^p)n^{1/p}$$

which yields the first inequality in (6.5) and proves the result. □

e. By the results of section 4. and a theorem of Pisier [**P1**,Ch 4], we see that an H^1-projective space X having the approximation property and such that X^* is also H^1-projective must be finite-dimensional . So we will end our discussion by asking the following question : Can one remove the approximation hypothesis from the preceding statement ?

Acknowledgements : The auther thanks Professor Gilles Pisier for pointing out this subject to him and for many stimulating discussions during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

[**B**] J.Bourgain, New Banach space properties of the disc algebra and H^∞, *Acta Math.* 152 (1984), 1-48.

[**BD**] J. Bourgain and W.J. Davis, Martingales transforms and complex uniform convexity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 294 (1986),501-515.

[**CW**] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bul. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83 (1977).

[**D**] D.W. Dean, The equation, $\mathcal{L}(E, X**) = \mathcal{L}(E, X)^{**}$ and the principle of local reflexivity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40 (1973), 146-148.

[**E1**] G. Edgar, *Complex martingale convergence,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1166, Springer-Verlag (1985), 38-59.

[**E2**] G. Edgar, Analytic martingale convergence, *J. Funct. Anal.* 69 (1986), 268-280.

[**Ga**] D.J.H. Garling, On martingales with values in complex Banach spaces, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 104 (1988), 399-406.

[**GLM**] N. Ghoussoub, J. Lindenstrauss and B. Maurey, Analytic martingales and plurisubharmonic barriers in complex Banach spaces, *Contemporary Mathematics,* Vol.85, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1989). 111-130

[GM] N. Ghoussoub and B. Maurey, Plurisubharmonic martingales and barriers in complex quasi-Banach spaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourrier* 39 (1989), 1007-1060.

[G1] A. Grothendieck, Résumé de la théorie métric des produits tensoriels topologiques, *Bol. Soc. Math. São Paulo.* 8 (1956) 1-79.

[G2] A. Grothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques, *Memoires Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (1955).

[HP] U. Haagerup and G. Pisier, Factorization of analytic functions with values in non-commutative L^1-spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* 41 (1989), 882-906.

[H] S. Heinrich, Ultraproducts in Banach space theory, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 313 (1980), 72-104.

[K] O. Kouba, L'application canonique $J : \widetilde{H}^2(X)\hat{\otimes}\widetilde{H}^2(X) \to \widetilde{H}^1(X\hat{\otimes}X)$ n'est pas surjective en général. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris t.307, Série I,* (1988), 949-953.

[LR] J. Lindenstrauss and H.P. Rosenthal, The $\mathcal{L}_p$ spaces, *Is. J. Math.* 7 (1969), 325-34.

[LT] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I,II* , Springer-Verlag (1977).

[P1] G. Pisier, *Factorization of linear operators and geometry of Banach spaces,* CBMS No 60 , A.M.S. Providence (1987) .

[P2] G. Pisier, Les inégalités de Khintchine-Kahane d'après C. Borell. Séminaire sur la géometrie des espaces de Banach (1977-1978), *Exp. No 7, Ecole Polytec. Palaiseau* (1978).

[P3] G. Pisier, Factoriztion of operator valued analytic functions, *Advances in Math.* 93 No 1, (1992) 61-125.

[R] H.P. Rosenthal, On subspaces of L_p, *Ann. of Math.* (2)97 (1973), 344-373.

[X] Q. Xu, Inégalités pour les martingales de Hardy et renormage des espaces quasi-normés, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris t. 307 Série I,* (1988), 601-604.

[Z] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series I, II,* Cambridge University press the recent edition (1988).